

Held Hostage?

The Influence of Major ASes
and CDNs on the Internet

Original Idea

- ✦ *The Internet is strongly hierarchical*
- ✦ *Original maps (Rexford 2001) show the “Inner Core” lies in free-speech countries*
 - ✦ *US, France, Sweden*
- ✦ *But the Internet has changed a great deal.*
 - ✦ *How large is the inner core today?*
How much lies in censorious countries?

First Round Approach

- ✦ *Based on : publicly available BGP data.*
- ✦ *Routeviews Project*
- ✦ *Compute paths from every AS to “home AS” of target website. (Algorithm by Gao)*
- ✦ *Vary target websites and find common heavy hitters.*

First Round Results

- ✦ *“Core of the Internet” : 30 ASes*

- ✦ *Loose term.*

We mean, the heavy hitters that intercept >90% of paths to all target websites. (Alexa top-10, top-20 ...)

- ✦ *Not a **true** backbone.*

We can replace some of these with others in top-50 heavy hitters and still intercept >90% paths.

Ideas so Far - 1

- ✿ *The Internet has grown dramatically in 16 years (from 10,000 to 60,000 ASes) but the inner core has not (from 20 to 30 ASes).*

Ideas so Far - II

- ✦ *Roughly one-third of the inner core is hostile.
(e.g. AS 4134, AS 4837 ... Great Firewall of China)*
- ✦ *Filtering by these ASes most likely affects transit traffic from downstream countries (collateral damage).*
- ✦ *We **should** be worried about collateral damage from the censorship mechanisms in these ASes.*

Ideas so Far - III

- ✦ *Approx. 82% of the paths transit through core ASes in the United States.*
- ✦ *Much greater than Russia (11%) or China (9%)*
- ✦ *But the US has given up net neutrality. (Dec 2017)*
- ✦ *Perhaps **throttling** by US backbone providers will become a greater threat to open Internet access, than filtering by Russia, China, etc.?*

Problems - I

- ✦ *Naive model of Internet Routing*
 - ✦ *Our model assumes that every site goes to the main server - e.g. google.com in Mountain View - and not to the closest local mirror.*
 - ✦ *In reality, much of the traffic is carried by CDNs (and not by AS-IXP routes).*

Problems - II

- ✦ *The AS relationships are well known (using Giotsas approach) - not all paths are valley free*
- ✦ *But when stitching them together into paths, we still use Gao's algorithm ... assumes valley free paths*
- ✦ *Needed : better approach to computing paths!*
- ✦ *Routeviews RIBs "biased toward big ASes" (Gregori)*
 - ✦ *Possible : rerun experiment with BGP tables from Isolario*

Going Forward

- ✿ *What paths do **actual** packets take?
(including impact of CDN)*
- ✿ *How can we directly find impact of:*
 - ✿ *Filtering by censorious countries?*
 - ✿ *Throttling by ISPs in US?*

Importance of CDN

- ✦ *Take large sample of target websites*
 - ✦ *Alexa 10 k? (possibly 100 k?)*
- ✦ *From vantage points, see where the traffic is going when targeting these websites.*
- ✦ *first cut : dig <target website> on vantage point*
- ✦ *possible : confirm by running traceroute*

Importance of CDN

- ✦ *Common host serving many websites ... likely edge of CDN*
 - ✦ *confirm using reverse DNS (dig -x) and whois*
- ✦ *How many of these **real** paths are intercepted by censorious ASes?*
- ✦ *Also : direct measurement of impact of CDNs*
 - ✦ *Fraction of paths rerouted to CDN local cache*
 - ✦ *Dataset of savings in path length*
(compared vs. path to original server)

Net Neutrality

- ✦ *Idea : try to identify targeted websites*
 - ✦ *torrent websites, music websites, porn*
 - ✦ *these are likely to be throttled by transit companies*
(which are also content companies)
- ✦ *For each sensitive website, choose some peers*
 - ✦ *similar traffic rank, hosted in same AS*

Net Neutrality

- ✦ *From various vantage points, measure bandwidth to sensitive website AND to peers (using abget)*
- ✦ *If sensitive website is throttled, it will be an outlier*
- ✦ *Locate bottleneck (using pathneck)*
Check to see if US ASes are doing the throttling

Net Neutrality

- ✦ *Particularly valuable as a longitudinal study*
- ✦ *How the US became less free over time as a result of Net Neutrality repeal*

What do we want?

- ✦ *Vantage points!*
- ✦ *To run dig, traceroute*
- ✦ *To run abget, pathneck*
- ✦ *Comments and corrections.*
- ✦ *Better approach? Better tools?*