

Paul Vixie, ISC with Duane Wessels, Measurement Factory July, 2007



PCAP Pros and Cons

PCAP works at the packet (interface) level lots of useless link-level drivel in *.pcap files every PCAP application has a big switch() new link formats are not backward compatible Impedance mismatch w/ WAN analysis: need IP (UDP) reassembly of large EDNS need TCP reassembly (ordering, duplicates)



IP (UDP) Reassembly

Challenges:

- IP fragments only include UDP headers in first fragment
- each user-mode reassembler has to ask BPF for all frags and then do flow isolation

Dangers:

- bad guys wanting to evade trivial analysis and detection can force large-EDNS
- all known existing tools won't reassemble IP



TCP Reassembly

Challenges:

- TCP segments can arrive out of order and/or be duplicated
- Dangers:
 - any transaction that falls back to TCP will probably be missed by all existing analysis tools



PCAP File Format Problems

Link level information

- When analyzing WAN data, the link level information is almost never interesting
- Most PCAP analyzers skip it altogether
- When combining PCAP streams, a unified output linktype has to be chosen
- Packet size problems
 - snaplen and packet size are 16-bit fields
 - DNS message length is also 16 bits
 - DNS+UDP+IP can be larger than 16 bits



NCAP File Format Proposal

Universal format, no interface-specific data

- All fields are 32-bit network byte order
- Original wire-format headers (IP, UDP, TCP, etc) are simply discarded
- Enumerations
 - network: IP, IP6
 - transport: UDP, TCP
- Payloads
 - UDP: sport, dport, length, payload
 - TCP: sport, dport, length, offset, payload



NCAP Library Proposal

- libncap would appear similar to libpcap
 - some evolution, fewer rough edges in the API
- Ibncap would probably be implemented using libpcap
 - pass the filters across to the kernel
 - grab the output from the kernel
 - reassemble packets/segments, strip headers
 - make and deliver ncap-format messages
- Challenges:
 - event handling is still nonportable, so merging input from many interfaces will be tricky

NCAP Kernel Module Proposal

- The kernel already has efficient packet and segment reassemblers
- An NCAP kernel module to tap into data as it passes into/outof the transport would be more efficient than doing it in user mode
- This would only work for locally sourced and locally destined traffic
- Use of the kernel module would be optional, and hidden behind the NCAP library API
- Not very compelling at the moment

Implications on Passive DNS

- For OARC passive DNS, it's nec'y to be able to rebroadcast centrally the data that is collected in many places
- Raw IP/UDP and IP/TCP isn't a good format for this – fragments, segments, etc
- Raw PCAP isn't a good format either
- We need messages (NCAP) not packets (PCAP) for this

