# The Archipelago Measurement Infrastructure

Young Hyun CAIDA 7th CAIDA-WIDE Workshop, Nov 2006

# Outline

- background
- goals
- architecture
- status

# Background

#### • CAIDA's Macroscopic Topology Project

- represents our main effort in active measurement
- more than 8 years of data collection
- running skitter on 20-25 monitors worldwide
- > 12 billion complete skitter traces (as of Nov 2006)
- CAIDA has used data for
  - AS graph poster
  - AS ranking
  - Internet Topology Data Kit (ITDK)
  - various topology analyses

# Background

#### • terminology

- skitter *tool* 
  - performs parallel traceroutes
- skitter *infrastructure* 
  - distributes destination lists to monitors, performs measurements, and collects traces
  - skitter tool + other software + web server



# Introduction

- Archipelago (Ark) is CAIDA's next generation active measurement infrastructure
  - software + hardware (machines)
- replaces skitter infrastructure
  - *skitter infrastructure* = currently deployed software = means
- Ark is an upgrade to the **means** of the Macroscopic Topology Project
- the Project will go on, and skitter-like measurements will be main focus of Ark

# Introduction

- Ark will have **minimal** impact on researchers currently **using** skitter data
  - same type of data (just in different file format)
  - same type of global, large-scale traceroute measurements
- Ark will have greater impact on researchers wanting **to do** active measurement
  - allows sophisticated, dynamic, etc. destination lists for skitter-like measurements
    - better employ available resources to get more bang for buck
  - beyond traceroute measurements

# Introduction

#### • Ark is an *infrastructure*, not a tool

- concerned with system-level issues
  - security, data management, software distribution, communication, scheduling, ...
- accommodates open-ended set of tools
  - traceroute, ping, one-way loss, bandwidth estimation, DNS performance, router alias resolution, ...
- could be used for passive measurement but geared toward active
  - passive measurement: simple, few locations, high data volume
  - active measurement: complex, highly distributed, low data volume

### Goals

- a step toward a community-oriented measurement infrastructure
  - collaborators can run vetted measurements on security-hardened platform
  - general public can perform highly-restricted measurements
  - tailored for network measurement -- not broad-scope distributed experimental platform
    - inspired by PlanetLab but not PlanetLab

## Goals

- greater scalability and flexibility
  - scalability in system management, monitor deployment, measurement efficiency, resource utilization
  - flexibility in measurement method, scheduling, data collection
- platform for measurement tool development, experimentation, deployment
  - raise level of abstraction with high-level API and scripting language
  - factor out security, software distribution, data collection, etc. from tool development
  - inspired by Scriptroute but not Scriptroute

# Architecture

- topology
- security
- communication & coordination
- software installation & execution
- data storage & management

# Topology

- Ark is physically composed of measurement *nodes* (machines) located in various networks worldwide
  - measurement nodes connected to central server (at CAIDA) over Internet, forming a logical star topology (same as skitter)



# Architecture

- topology
- security
- communication & coordination
- software installation & execution
- data storage & management

## Security Features

- multiple levels of trust:
  - stranger (general public) -- no trust
  - acquaintance -- some trust
  - collaborator -- medium to high trust
- secure communication
- process isolation (sandboxing)
- rate & resource limiting
- packet filtering
- fine-grained access control of resources

- *"it is secure"* has no meaning without context
- secure against what?
  - *who*, *what*, and *where* are the threats?
  - how do you mitigate each particular threat?

- threat from 3rd party: eavesdropping & taking control
- mitigation:
  - all communication over SSL
    - custom root certificate; check client & server certificates
  - small, well-defined set of open server ports
    - base operation: only SSH--all other connections opened out from node
    - ports of measurement tools; e.g., server-side of bandwidth estimation tool
  - closed membership
    - attacker is outsider: only machines of collaborators may join system
    - contrast with open systems where first line of attack is to join system
  - communication in star topology
    - nodes must directly trust only the central server
    - no O(n<sup>2</sup>) node-to-node authentication that can be subverted

- threat from **public user**: privilege escalation & launching attacks
- mitigation:
  - execute in sandbox
    - FreeBSD jail: even root access doesn't compromise system
  - restricted measurement capabilities
    - traceroute- and ping-like measurements only
    - no TCP connections; no UDP packets (not even DNS)
  - rate limiting; packet filtering by destination address
  - no ability to read/write local files
    - not even as root--system immutable flag

- threat from collaborator: privilege escalation & denial of service (DoS) of Ark itself
- mitigation:
  - enforce levels of confinement: completely open to restricted
  - optional sandbox (FreeBSD jail)
  - optional rate limiting & packet filtering
  - fine-grained access control of files & privileged resources (e.g., raw sockets)
  - filesystem resource limits
  - FreeBSD jail-based CPU & memory resource limits
  - partitioning of communication space for privacy and to prevent interference
  - full protection against DoS not possible
    - concerned more about accidental DoS than intentional

# Security Model

#### • requirements

- fine-grained authorization mechanisms for
  - reading and writing files
  - transferring measurement data and other files between hosts
  - accessing privileged or confidential resources (e.g., raw sockets, SNMP counters)
  - opening communication channels
  - installing, executing, and stopping measurement software
- scalability
- ability to delegate management
  - delegate authorization duties for a subset of nodes
  - allow hosting organization to set site-specific maximum privileges
    - e.g., nothing beyond traceroute
    - finer control than coarse configuration settings

# Security Model

- rejected approach: access control lists (ACL)
  - ACL is a list of (user, rights) pairs attached to object
    - e.g., [(Alice, read/write), (Bob, read)] for file /data/stuff.txt
  - authorization: look up **identity** of principal in ACL, and grant enumerated rights
- drawbacks:
  - requires authentication to establish identity
  - identity must be established across machines
  - ACLs must be kept up-to-date across machines and in the face of network failure or partitioning
  - potential for inconsistent or incomplete ACLs
    - that is, hard to correctly implement policy across machines
  - hard to delegate authorization duties
  - hard to pass along access rights to others

# Security Model

- chosen approach: capabilities
  - a *capability* is an unforgeable object reference combined with list of rights
  - **possession** of a capability is necessary and sufficient authorization
  - access is granted by passing capabilities from one process to another

#### • advantages:

- no authentication required (no identity checks)
- no need to establish identities
- no ACL-like metadata that must be kept up-to-date
- no possibility for inconsistency or incompleteness since no metadata exists
- can delegate authorization duties by granting *authorization capability*
- can selectively grant rights to others
- can enforce Principle of Least Privilege

- potential drawbacks and difficulties:
  - hard to track exactly who used a resource
  - hard to enumerate all principals who can potentially access a resource
  - hard to revoke capabilities on per-principal basis
  - *confinement problem*--hard to control willful propagation of capabilities
    - not compromise of system, just Alice intentionally giving (sharing) a capability to Bob
- these issues may or may not
  - exist in a given implementation of capabilities
  - matter for a given use of capabilities

- real-world examples of capability-like objects:
  - car keys
    - car doesn't check your identity before starting engine
    - can give car keys to valet without worrying about valet entering your house
  - stickers for hybrid cars that permit driving in carpool lanes
    - police officer enforces carpool lane by checking for presence of sticker--simple & quick
    - police officer does **not** need to check every license plate against complete list of authorized vehicles
    - auto dealer can (theoretically) give out stickers to car purchasers
  - carnival tickets
    - tickets can be sold in multiple booths at different locations without requiring coordination or record keeping
    - ride operators simply check for possession of ticket

- technical example: Unix file descriptor
  - integer value refers to open file with particular rights (read/write) in kernel
    - can't forge file descriptor
    - necessary & sufficient: I/O system calls work on file descriptor
  - pass open file descriptor from one process to another via (local) socket to grant access
  - Principle of Least Privilege
    - the process receiving an open file gains no more access than the file

- capabilities implementation:
  - *internal* capabilities:
    - functional object reference that can only exist within system
      - can **directly** dereference to access object
    - file descriptors for access to files, raw sockets, and tuple space regions
  - external capabilities:
    - non-functional object reference that can exist outside system
      - can store on disk, email to someone, etc.
      - must indirectly dereference to access object
    - crypto-based implementation:
      - care about **authenticity** and **integrity** of capabilities
      - similar in concept (digital signature) to X.509 certificates but for objects and rights, not for principals (people)
      - use keyed-hash message authentication code (HMAC; RFC 2104):
        - compute: MAC = *HMAC*(Object ID, Rights, Key)
        - capability is (Object ID, Rights, MAC)

# Architecture

- topology
- security
- communication & coordination
- software installation & execution
- data storage & management

### Communication & Coordination

- a measurement infrastructure is a distributed system with many components that must work together in complex ways toward a common goal
- ability to communicate is absolutely necessary but not sufficient in this environment
- must go beyond communication to *coordination*
- coordination is about ...
  - scheduling
  - starting and stopping
  - controlling and guiding
  - satisfying dependencies and maintaining ordering
  - preparing for and cleaning up
  - distributing and collecting

# **Coordination Facility**

- coordination is usually implemented in ad-hoc manner on top of communication facility
- general facility for directly implementing coordination is valuable
  - abstracts away programming details
  - lowers barrier to implementing remotely controllable components
  - easier to understand and verify correctness of coordinated behavior
  - easier to re-use or adapt *coordination patterns*

- Ark provides a general coordination facility: *tuple space* 
  - tuple space is a distributed shared memory coupled with certain operations
  - basic idea of tuple space originated in the Linda coordination language developed by David Gelernter in the 1980's
    - further developed and refined over the years by many researchers

• tuple space contains *tuples* 

- multiset: can have any number of tuples with the same value
- tuples are an **ordered** collection of values of possibly mixed type (int, float, string, ...)
  - can have any number of components
  - up to users to define meaning of tuples
    - meaning rests solely on implicit convention
    - advantage: no formal (database-like) schema required or declared
  - examples:
    - ("composer", "Bach", 1685, 1750)
    - ("Bach", 1011, "Cello Suite No. 5 in C minor")
    - ("J.A. Bach", "J.S. Bach")
    - ("J.S. Bach", "C.P.E. Bach")
    - ("J.S. Bach", "W.F. Bach")

#### • tuple space is an associative memory

- *match* user-supplied *template* against all tuples
- template is like a tuple except it can have wildcards (\*)
  - (("J.S. Bach", "C.P.E. Bach"))
  - (("J.S. Bach", \*))
- template *matches* tuple if
  - template and tuple have same number of components, and
  - values at corresponding positions in template and tuple *match*:
    - literal value only *matches* the same value
    - wildcard always *matches* any value of any type
- examples of template matching:
  - (("J.S. Bach", "C.P.E. Bach")) matches ("J.S. Bach", "C.P.E. Bach")
  - (("J.S. Bach", \*)) *matches* ("J.S. Bach", "C.P.E. Bach")
  - (("J.S. Bach", \*)) does **not** match ("J.S. Bach", 1685, 1750)
  - (("J.S. Bach", \*, \*)) matches ("J.S. Bach", 1685, 1750)
  - ((\*, 1685, \*)) matches ("J.S. Bach", 1685, 1750)

#### • 3 fundamental tuple space operations:

- write(*tuple*)
  - adds a tuple
- read(template)
  - returns a copy of a matching tuple (tuple remains in tuple space)
  - blocks until a matching tuple is added to the tuple space
- take(template)
  - removes matching tuple from tuple space and returns it
  - blocks until a matching tuple is added to the tuple space

#### • properties beneficial for coordination:

- designed explicitly for concurrency
  - burden of locking shared space on system, **not** on user
  - automatic mutual exclusion: system guarantees that only one process can remove a given tuple with *take* operation
- operations block waiting for matching tuple
  - supports decoupling in time
  - reader and writer processes may have different or non-overlapping lifetimes
- tuples are not addressed to an explicit recipient
  - supports decoupling in space
  - reader and writer processes don't need to know the identity or location or even existence of each other
  - allows dynamically changing, open-ended set of participants

### **Tuple Space Coordination Examples**

#### semaphores

- enforce mutual exclusion in resource access or use
- e.g., use semaphore to prevent concurrent probing into a given AS or prefix, or use multi-valued semaphores to restrict the degree of probing parallelism
  - take("AS701"); doit(); write("AS701")
- set allowed level of parallelism or concurrent access by varying number of "semaphore" tuples seeded in tuple space:
  - e.g., to allow two concurrent probes into AS701, prep the tuple space with write ("AS701"); write("AS701")
  - code to use semaphores remains unchanged from the case of single-valued semaphore

### Tuple Space Coordination Examples

#### barrier synchronization

}

- block fast-running tasks until all tasks reach a certain point in processing or execution, after which all tasks become unblocked
  - e.g., want all measurement tasks to start at same time at beginning of each stage of a multistage measurement
- one implementation approach: for 3 processes, A, B, & C:
  - A: write ("A-done"); take ("B-done"); take ("C-done")
  - B: write ("B-done"); take ("A-done"); take ("C-done")
  - C: write ("C-done"); take ("A-done"); take ("B-done")
- another approach: for general *n* processes--use counter:

```
• wait_for_all() {
   (x, n) = take("working", *);
   write("working", n-1);
   take("working", 0);
```

### Tuple Space Coordination Examples

#### • distributed data structures

- lists, queues, trees, graphs, ... can be built with tuples
- data structures exist on their own independently of processes
- processes concurrently manipulate these data structures
- provides a foundation for distributed processing and problem solving
- e.g., can implement producer-consumer pattern supporting arbitrary number of consumers and producers:

<pre>data structure: (1, "Bach");(2, "Mozart");("head", 1);("tail", 2)</pre>	
<pre>produce(val) {   (x, n) = take("tail", *);   write("tail", n+1);   write(n, val); }</pre>	<pre>consume() {   (x, n) = take("head", *);   write("head", n+1);   (y, val) = take(n, *);   return val; }</pre>
## Tuple Space Coordination Examples

- Bag-of-Tasks (aka Master-Worker) scheduling
  - decompose complex or repetitive jobs and parcel out pieces to workers
  - automatic distribution: no central authority that assigns work
  - automatic load balancing: each worker runs at its own pace and a slow worker doesn't cause faster workers to idle
  - e.g., want to probe every routed /24, balancing load across team of 30 machines

data structure: ("task", "192.168.0.0/24")	
<pre>master(tasks) {   for t in tasks {     write("task", t);   } }</pre>	<pre>worker() {    forever {       (x, t) = take("task", *);       doit(t);    } }</pre>

## Metadata in Tuple Space

#### • another important use: store metadata

- system and node configuration
  - when node (re)starts up, it looks up its IP address in tuple space and retrieves configuration
  - supports match-making service: find node matching desired criteria (AS, prefix, performance, measurement capabilities, etc.)

#### • infrastructure-wide *no-probe* list

• records network prefixes and host addresses that, due to complaints, should not receive measurement traffic

- tuple space **implementation** in Ark is far more sophisticated than basic **model** described so far
- full list of features:
  - multiple tuple space regions
  - local & global scopes
  - private one-to-one and group communication
  - tuple *qualities*
  - scalar & structured types for tuple components
  - many operations: non-blocking variants, iteration, ...
  - fine-grained per-region privileges

- multiple disjoint tuple space regions
  - aka, multiple tuple spaces
  - partition communication space for privacy and to prevent interference (cross talk)



- two scopes:
  - local: tuple space regions local to given node
    - only processes on node can access regions
  - global: tuple space regions at central server, outside all nodes
    - processes from all nodes can access regions
    - all **inter**-node communication happens in global regions; no direct node-to-node communications allowed



- communication patterns:
  - private one-to-one communication
  - private group communication
    - that is, many-to-many communication by subset of processes
  - public all-to-all communication
    - special case of group communication
  - private communication with Ark system services
    - special group-like communication: non-member (measurement process) communicating with a group (processes implementing a system service)



- tuple qualities:
  - sticky
    - *sticky* tuple can only be removed (with *take*) by process that wrote it; *take* becomes *read* for all other processes
  - precious
    - safeguards to prevent loss of tuple following process failure
  - auto\_increment, auto\_decrement
    - more convenient use of counter tuples
- types for tuple components:
  - scalar types: integer, float, string
  - structured types (experimental): lists & hashes
    - *hash* as in Perl, a hash table
  - file descriptors
    - in local regions only

#### • operations:

- write(*tuple*)
- read(template); take(template)
- readp(template); takep(template)
  - non-blocking versions of read and take
  - if a matching tuple currently exists in tuple space, then return it; else return nil
- read\_all(template)
  - returns all existing tuples that match template
- monitor(template)
  - returns all existing tuples that match template, **and** returns all future tuples that match

- operations (continued):
  - p = remember\_peer(); forget\_peer(p);
     write\_to(p, tuple); reply(tuple)
    - send private one-to-one communication
  - take\_priv(template); takep\_priv(template)
    - receive private one-to-one communication
  - forward\_to(p, tuple)
    - send private one-to-one communication with masquerading of sender
  - **pass\_access\_to**(*p*, *file\_descriptor*, *tuple*)
    - pass arbitrary open file descriptor to another local process
    - pass access to tuple space region to another local process
      - one mechanism for granting group membership
  - chan = new\_binding(); chan = duplicate();
     chan = global\_commons()
    - working with *channels* to tuple space regions

#### • fine-grained per-region privileges:

- can read tuples
- can write tuples
- can write sticky tuples
- can take tuples
- can forward tuples
- can pass access rights (file descriptors)

# Architecture

- topology
- security
- communication & coordination
- software installation & execution
- data storage & management

- installation & execution rights governed by capabilities
- 3 classes of deployment:
  - 1. script submitted by general public
    - single Ruby or Perl script
    - runs in extremely restricted language-specific sandbox
    - executed immediately; no permanent installation
    - rate & resource limited
    - no possible access to files
    - similar to Scriptroute; want Scriptroute compatibility layer
    - jobs submitted through central CGI hosted at CAIDA
  - 2. singleton tool
  - 3. tool bundle: extension of system

#### • 3 classes of deployment:

- 1. script submitted by general public
- 2. singleton tool
  - single script or executable
  - temporarily installed in a jail and executed once
    - once doesn't mean short-lived
  - can access resources with appropriate capabilities
    - including input & output data files
- 3. tool bundle: extension of system

#### • 3 classes of deployment:

- 1. script submitted by general public
- 2. singleton tool
- 3. tool bundle: extension of system
  - bundle of files: scripts, executables, shared libraries, and static data
  - temporarily/permanently installed
  - executed any number of times on demand
  - optionally registered as a service
  - optional enforced access control and resource limiting
  - optionally in jail

• terminology: *m-tool* -- a measurement tool, referring generically to script/tool/tool bundle

#### • execution vs. measurement

- *execution*: starting a process
- *measurement*: performing some task upon request
- for tools like **traceroute**: execution = measurement
  - user executes command; command performs measurement and exits
- useful to separate *measurement* from *execution* 
  - execution requires a high privilege, but measurement should not
  - use measurement servers to separate measurement from execution
  - implementing measurement servers is easy and natural under Ark
    - server loop:
      - 1. accept request over tuple space
      - 2. perform measurement
      - 3. write result to tuple space or file

# Architecture

- topology
- security
- communication & coordination
- software installation & execution
- data storage & management

## Data Storage & Management

### • goals: security and simplicity

- Principle of Least Privilege
- data integrity & confidentiality
- prefer simple file-oriented storage mechanisms
  - eschew databases: could have, but want to keep deployment footprint small (on underpowered machines) and management complexity low

### • approach:

- use capabilities for fine-grained access control
- store bulk measurement data in local files and transfer files regularly to central repository
- use tuple space for modest amounts of data
  - results of immediately-executed one-off measurements
  - summary statistics of long-running measurements

## Status

- implemented Ark's tuple space in Ruby
  - implemented Ruby client binding to tuple space
- no other Ark component implemented yet or planned for short term
- highest priority: working on *conservative upgrade* of skitter infrastructure
  - replace with tuple space + scamper + misc tools for now
  - working on tools
    - to control scamper from tuple space
    - to have more dynamic destination lists
      - e.g., manage teams of monitors probing every /24
  - Matthew Luckie making improvements to scamper and writing tool to "sort" scamper traces into files for download

## Status

#### • scamper

- active measurement tool like skitter developed by Matthew Luckie
- primary topology tool in Ark
- better than skitter -- supports:
  - IPv4 & IPv6
  - TCP-, UDP-, and ICMP traceroutes
  - ping
  - path MTU discovery
  - fine-grained multiplexing of destination lists
  - programmatic control via socket
  - *warts* format files with more information than *arts*++ files
    - cycle start & end markers
    - measurement metadata (e.g., probing parameters)

### Status

### • hardware expansion of infrastructure

- starting July 2006, CAIDA assumed operational stewardship of the machines of the National Laboratory for Advanced Network Research (NLANR)
  - NLANR officially ended in June 30, 2006
  - currently decommissioning 170 boxes of NLANR's Active Measurement Project (AMP)
  - will transition several dozen AMP boxes to Ark infrastructure, increasing our international coverage by 20 countries that never had skitter monitor
    - will also gain IPv6 connectivity

# Thanks!



### ark-info@caida.org