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Why NDN Congestion Control is Hard

NDN Architecture makes Congestion Control hard:

1. Unknown Endpoints
2. Universal Caching
3. FIB Aggregation
4. Multipath Forwarding
5. Deployment as IP Overlay or Dual-Stack

More specifically:

- Hard to set a good timeout
- Hard to set a good congestion window
- Hard to signal congestion to the right consumer
Clarifying Assumptions

Work in the literature disagrees about the assumptions. Critical for design implications!

- Can we assume to know the link bandwidth?
- Can we identify flows? (probably not)
  - Naming conventions? Header fields?
  - Is per-flow fairness feasible (state overhead) or even desirable (fairness might work differently in NDN)?
- How much in-network state is feasible?
- Are *per-route labels* scalable and practical?
- Effect of caching strategies?
Design Goals

Ongoing work, intend to publish at ICN 2016.

1. **First do no harm!**
   - Work with reliable and unreliable traffic

2. Don’t rely on Timers
   - Avoid packet drops
   - Use explicit congestion notification
   - Use timeouts as backup with really high values (e.g. 2 seconds)

3. Exploit multipath routing
   - Make decisions hop-by-hop
   - Use NDN forwarding to ”forward around congestion”

4. Don’t use per-route labels

5. Consider overlay and dual stack scenario

6. Consider caching