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Value Configuration

• Stabell and Fjeldstad, 1998; based on Thompson’s 1967 typology of technology:
  – Intensive technology (shops)
  – Long-linked technology (chains)
  – Mediating technology (networks)

• Which is the value configuration for the Internet?
For traditional communications, two supply chains: End users buy access service; Access network buy transit service; money flows up the respective supply chains.
New Elephant in the Room

Eyeballs → Access → Backbone → CDN → Content
Dis-intermediation

Eyeballs → Access → Backbone → CDN → Content
Dis-intermediation

- For content distribution: supply chain model no longer accurate, since Access network is not buying content from CDN in order to sell to eyeballs
- So is this a two-sided market?
Two-Sided Market

- Two-sided markets implies vertical integration between Access and CDN, so as to establish a platform between the two sides.
- Basis for numerous recent economic models of the Internet
Platform Competition

• Consumers may face a duopoly or oligopoly
• Unless consumers choose to multi-home, content providers will have to face not one but multiple terminating access monopolies

  **But we are getting ahead of ourselves!**
  – Vertical integration between Access and CDN is still exception, not norm
A bilateral oligopoly is a better model of the current state of the world [Chuang, 2011]
- Oligopolies in two adjacent loci of competition
- Both Access and CDN firms have market power
Characteristics of Bilateral Oligopolies

- Negotiation/bargaining $\rightarrow$ long-term contracts $\rightarrow$ entry barriers for both loci
- With balance of power $\rightarrow$ lower consumer prices, increased consumer surplus
- Prevalence across different industries

Figure 1. The Effect of Insurer Market Concentration on Health Insurance Premiums For a Fixed Level of Hospital Market Concentration

[Frakt, 2010]
Bilateral Oligopoly: A Different Example

- Record labels are the terminating access monopolies in this case
  - Music stores have to multi-home with the top four labels
- Do music stores have negotiating power vis-à-vis record labels?
  - Think about how Apple iTunes has shaken up the music industry
Some Research Questions

• Is bilateral oligopoly an appropriate model of value configuration for the Internet content delivery ecosystem?
  – *Better* than traditional supply chain or two-sided market?
  – Is a **two-sided bilaterally-oligopolistic market** different from a generic bilateral oligopoly?

• Will an increase in market concentration in (and therefore a shift in market power towards) the Access locus or the CDN locus improve consumer surplus or social welfare? Empirical-based support?

• What are regulatory principles that work best for bilateral oligopolies?
  – One example: If an increase in CDN market concentration is seen as welfare improving, should DoJ/FCC apply a more lenient standard to CDN mergers? But what about facilitating new entry?
Counteracting Terminating Access Monopolies

• What are ways to counteract the power of terminating access monopolies?
  – Possibility 0: adoption of open access network models
  – Possibility 1: price cap by outside options (Clark et al., 2011)
  – Possibility 2: CDNs as consortia of content providers (bilateral oligopoly)
  – Possibility 3: get eyeballs to multi-home; then allow eyeballs and content to coordinate path at fine granularity \textit{(a la dual SIM mobile phones)}
Some Research Questions

• How is a combination of bilateral oligopoly and terminating access monopoly different from just a bilateral oligopoly?
• How do we objectively compare the feasibility and merits of the four options? Are there additional options beyond the four?
• Regarding Possibility 3: What technical mechanisms, economic mechanisms, business models, and/or policy interventions are necessary (or desirable) to facilitate residential multi-homing and dynamic binding of paths?
  – The physical wires are already there; but flat rate pricing is one obstacle
  – Will the value propositions for enterprise multi-homing (availability, diversity, volume) become applicable to consumers, e.g., due to new applications and services?