Detecting CGN in the ISP

Andra Lutu, Marcelo Bagnulo, Amogh Dhamdhere, kc claffy



Network Address Translation (NAT)

- We are out of IPv4 address space
- IPv6 adoption is slow, though accelerating in recent times
- Network Address Translation prolongs the life of IPv4 by enabling address sharing
- NATs can be performance bottlenecks, break certain applications, or inhibit IPv6 adoption in the near term

NAT444 / Carrier Grade NAT/ Large Scale NAT



RFC7021: Assessing the Impact of Carrier-Grade NAT on Network Applications

- On-line gaming
- Video streaming
- BitTorrent
- VPN & Encryption
- VoIP



Traditional NAT (NAT44)

DSL Access Network mapped to the LMAP Reference Path





Large Scale NAT (NAT444)

DSL Access Network with NAT444 deployment



NAT Revelio



- Detect the usage of private/shared address space beyond the CPE, in the ISP access network
- Detect the location (home network or ISP access network) of the device doing the translation to the GRA

Client-side detection

- Two approaches to CGN detection: using measurements from the client or from "outside"
- NAT Revelio is a client-side approach
- Specific use scenario: from the user CPE (e.g., SamKnows or Bismark router)
- Pro: more control over measurements
- Con: coverage limited to networks with VPs

NAT Revelio: Design Challenges

- Diverse home network configurations, e.g. in-home • cascaded NAT, with probe NOT connected directly to the CPE, misconfiguration in setting up SamKnows box
- Diverse ISP configurations and deployments, e.g. use of • private IP addresses internally even if they don't do NAT444

Subsc. Private Service Intra IP GRA _ Access device Net Demarc. ----- CPE 1 ------ CPE 2 ----- BRAS ------Revelio Client Home Network with **DSL Network Cascaded CPEs** noNAT444 Private Private Public Address Space Address Space Address Space NAT Revelio

Incorrect Mapping with the LMAP Reference Path:

NAT Revelio: Design Challenges

- Need to detect the access link to delimit the access network and the home network
- Eliminates some false positives

Correct Mapping with the LMAP Reference Path



NAT Revelio: Design Challenges

- Need to detect the access link to delimit the access network and the home network
- Eliminates some false positives

Correct Mapping with the LMAP Reference Path



NAT Revelio

- The NAT Revelio test suite includes 2 phases
- Environmental Characterization
 - Understand the environment hosting the device running the Revelio Client
- NAT444 Discovery
 - Detection of signals that the ISP might deploy a NAT444 solution in the ISP access network



Environment Characterization



- Test 1: The GRA of the subscriber running the Revelio client
- Test 2: Whether the subscriber is behind at least one level of NAT (i.e., the CPE performs the NAT function)
- Test 3: Position of the Revelio client related to the Service Demarc. Device (i.e., the position of the access link relative to the Revelio client)

NAT444 Discovery



Experimental Results

- NAT Revelio deployment on a large scale
- 1,954 SamKnows Whiteboxes in 26 ISPs across the UK
- We found that 10 end-users are connected behind a NAT444 deployment
 - 5 different ISPs
- Repeated test 6 months later, with consistent results

Current status

- Working with the FCC to deploy on the FCC/ SamKnows infrastructure in the US
- Estimated deployment soon (ish). maybe



NAT Revelio

- Other tests
 - Hairpin test
 - Port preservation test
 - Multi-client test

NAT Hairpin Test





- If the NAT hairpins connections, the client verifies the received STUN Binding Request received to check the TTL value
 - E.g., if TTL < 254, the <mapped IP> is not the external IP of the CPE => CGN detected

Port Preservation test



- Some NATs implement the port assignment behaviour known as *port preservation*
 - Attempt to preserve the port number used internally when assigning a mapping to an external IP address and port
- Send a Binding Request to the STUN Server from port p_{int}
- Learn the *<mapped address>*
- Create a new mapping for port p'_{int} in the CPE (send packet from port p'_{int} with TTL = 2)
- Send a packet from the MS to IP_{mapped}: p'_{int}
- If the host does not receive the packet => CGN detected

Port Preservation test



Multi-client test



- Retrieve the Mapped Public Address for each probe
- If any two probes have the same mapped public address => CGN detected
- Cannot detect all the clients that are behind the same CGN, but it can tell if the ISP is using a CGN

Multi-client test



- Run UDP traceroute to a fixed target (router inside Level3 network with no rate limiting)
 - Used the well-known traceroute port range
 - 21 different packet sizes (from 120 to 1400 bytes)
 - One traceroute probe per TTL, max TTL of 30
- Run every hour, over 4 days => collected 96
 RTT samples per TTL and for each packet size

- For each TTL:
 - 1) Minimum Filtering:
 - For each packet size, choose the minimum value of the RTT
 - Capture only the transmission delay and the propagation delay
 - RTT = packet_size/BW + LAT
 - 2) Line fitting
 - Using the 21 different points, fit a regression line for the RTT and determine the slope [1/BW] and the intercept [LAT]



3) Differencing

 Given the estimated cumulative parameters above, pathchar determines the per-link parameters (slope and intercept, i.e., 1/BW and LAT) by subtracting the consecutive fitted lines parameters