Investigating the impact of DDoS attacks on DNS infrastructure

Raffaele Sommese<sup>1</sup>, KC Claffy<sup>2</sup>, Roland van Rijswijk-Deji<sup>1</sup>, Arnab Chattopadhyay<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Dainotti<sup>3</sup>, Anna Sperotto<sup>1</sup>, Mattijs Jonker<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Twente, <sup>2</sup>CAIDA/UC San Diego, <sup>3</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology

# A teaser of this talk

#### IT ARMY of Ukraine

Наші колеги тим часом давлять днс РЖД, давайте підключимося!

Our mates are killing Russian Railway in the meantime. Please join!

217.175.155.100 : 53 UDP 217.175.155.12 : 53 UDP 217.175.140.71 : 53 UDP t.me/itarmyofukraine2022/173 212.1K ⊙ edited Mar 8 at 15:43

### Telegram coordination



#### Attack detected by UCSD Network Telescope



**Reactive DNS Measurements** 

# Outline

- The datasets used for this work.
- The insights on DDoS impact on the DNS ecosystem.
- A longitudinal analysis of 1 year and five months.
- Performance impairments and reachability related to those attacks.
- Effectiveness of DNS resilience techniques.



# **RSDoS** Attacks

- Randomly spoofed attacks involve randomly spoofing the source IP address to overload targets.
- RSDoS feed from UCSD Network Telescope.
- 5-minute window of statistics feed of response packets sent by victims.
- A lower bound of DoS attacks against specific IP addresses.



# **RSDoS** Attacks

Collected information we used in this work: • Target IPs

- Number of /16 subnets in the telescope that receive packets from victim
- Protocol
- First observed port
- Number of unique ports targeted
- Peak observed packet rate during the window

4,039,485 inferred attacks from November 2020 to March 2022

# **OpenINTEL DNS Queries**

- OpenINTEL performs daily querying of a large portion of the DNS space including and storing:
  - **NS** queries
  - round-trip time (RTT)
  - response status codes
- OpenINTEL uses *unbound* to "randomly" select an authoritative nameserver.

## Datasets: Anycast Census and Additional Datasets

Quarterly IPv4 Anycast census from MAnycast2 Project.

CAIDA's prefix-to-AS dataset to map IP addresses to the AS number(s).

CAIDA's AS-to-organization to map AS numbers to organizations.

Open resolver scans of Yazdani et al. to filter out IPs of open resolvers in the DNS authoritative.



# Joining Datasets Together

- RSDoS IPs under attack with the list of nameservers on the day before the attack => NSes under attack.
- 2. Resulting dataset with the list of domain names those nameservers hosted => Domains under attack.
- 3. The list of domains under attack with our RTT data => Performance
- 4. Additional metadata => Resilience (?)



# Impact on DNS Resolution

• Performance Impairment:

 $Impact\_on\_RTT = \frac{Average\ RTT\ (5\ min)}{Average\ RTT\ (Day\ Before)}$ 

• Resolution Failure: SERVFAIL, Timeout

Both are calculated on the NSSET!



# NSSet

- OpenINTEL's agnostic DNS resolution implies we cannot know which authoritative nameserver responded to a query.
- All nameservers are queried, on large numbers.
- An NSSet is a set of nameservers authoritative for a certain domain.
- Resolution failure => ALL the nameservers unresponsive.
- Performance impairment => Average RTT of the NSSet affected.



# The TransIP case

- December 2020, March 2021: Severe series of attacks against TransIP.
- In December, the RTT increased ten-fold for eight consecutive hours.
- In March, ~20% of the queries during the attack completely FAIL to resolve.
- No Anycast and a single ASN for their authoritative Nameservers.



### Mil.ru: How to not operate a DNS server

- Nameservers of mil.ru under attack for eight consecutive days, from March 11th to 18th.
- OpenINTEL failed to resolve mil.ru during the attack.
- The three nameservers were unicast, hosted behind the same ASN/company, and even on the same /24 subnet.



# Attacks in 2020-2022

- One year and five months of attacks from November 2020 to March 2022.
- 0.5-2% of RS-DoS attacks observed reached DNS infrastructure!
- Frequent targets: open resolvers, large DNS providers, and hosting companies.
- The most targeted companies: Google, Unified Layer, Cloudflare, OVH and Hetzner.



### Attacked Ports

- 80.7% of attacks on DNS authoritative infrastructure targeted a single port.
- Almost 90.4% of these attacks used TCP.
- Most of the TCP attacks targeted port 80
- Most of the UDP attacks targeted port 53.
- DNS itself may not be the primary target of those attacks.



# Performance Impact of Attacks

- NSSets with at least **five domains measured** during the attack.
- 12,691 distinct events of attacks during OpenINTEL measuring window.
- In 99% of cases, low to moderate performance impairment.
- In 1% of cases, completely resolution failure



# Failure in resolution

- Most domains failing to resolve belonged to small infrastructures.
- Largest attack (>10K domains) against nic.ru, a Russian registrar.
- 49% of successful attacks target port 53 (DNS).



# Resolution performance impairments

- ≈ 5% of attacks (585) induced a 10-fold increase in RTT.
- In 198 cases, we see RTT peaking at more than **100-fold the baseline RTT.**
- High-impact attacks concentrated on small-medium size infrastructure.



# Attack Inferred Intensity/Duration Correlation

- No correlation between RSDoS impact and DNS impact
- Telescope data reveals signaling of ongoing attacks but does not enable prediction of performance impact.
- Impactful DNS attacks are short-lived (15-60 minutes).



## Anycast efficacy vs DDOS

- Effective attacks => unicast.
- Resolution failure: domains relying on a unicast.
- Anycast as a resilience technique against DDoS attacks.



# Network diversity vs DDoS

- 81% domain failing to resolve => single ASN Deployment.
- 60% domain failing to resolve => a single /24 prefix.
- Anycast deployments suffer less from attacks, indicating increased DNS infrastructure resilience.
- Hosting nameservers across multiple prefixes or multiple ASNs increased resilience to devastating attacks.



# **Future Directions**

- Our inferences are incidental cases!
- Trigger active measurements of critical infrastructure under attack.
- Measuring all nameservers!
- From multiple vantage points!





# Conclusion

- Effectiveness of DNS resilience techniques.
- Well-provisioned DNS can withstand severe attacks.
- Small operators should rely on third-party as backup resilience.
- Continuous monitoring of the global DNS infrastructure needed.



# Thanks for the attention

Contact me: <u>r.sommese@utwente.nl</u> <u>https://academia.r4ffy.info</u>

This work is based on research sponsored by NWODHS MADDVIPR project (628.001.031/FA8750-19-2-0004), the EU CONCORDIA project (830927) the U.S. NSF grants OAC-2131987 and OAC-1724853. The views and conclusions are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent endorsements, either expressed or implied, of the sponsors.

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE. Georgia Tech. Homeland Security

> Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research