GMI-AIMS-3 Challenges in parsing BGP data

Johann Schlamp



THE ARPA NETWORK, DEC 1969

0:0:742e:2401:4900::/79 | AS2936451170 MOTIVATION

© 2024 Leitwert GmbH - All rights reserved.

Challenges in parsing BGP data

Selected problems

 RFC1997
 RFC2042
 RFC2545
 RFC2858
 RFC2918
 RFC4271
 RFC4360
 RFC4456
 RFC4486

 RFC4493
 RFC4724
 RFC4760
 RFC5065
 RFC5291
 RFC5492
 RFC5512
 RFC5543
 RFC5701

 RFC6037
 RFC6368
 RFC6396
 RFC6397
 RFC6514
 RFC6608
 RFC6793
 RFC6938
 RFC7311

 RFC7313
 RFC7447
 RFC7752
 RFC7911
 RFC8050
 RFC8092
 RFC8093
 RFC8205
 RFC8277

 RFC8538
 RFC8654
 RFC8669
 RFC8810
 RFC8950
 RFC9003
 RFC9015
 RFC9026
 RFC9072

 RFC9234
 RFC9384
 RFC9384
 RFC9384
 RFC9072
 RFC9072

More problems

- Implementation pointer arithmetic, IPv6 ll-next-hop, AS_PATH > 255, AS4, ADD-PATH
- ▶ Problem chaining BGP standard ⇔ BGP speaker ⇔ BGP exporter ⇔ BGP parser
- ▶ Conflicting goals be conformant with standard ⇔ extract the most information
- Differing use cases interactive/bulk, standalone/ecosystem, research/operations

The case for yet another BGP parser (I)

Critical feature requests

- Support for **all** MRT entries/BGP messages and attributes
- Customizable in terms of selecting record/attribute types
- Raw values and human-readable output (integers vs. strings)
- Native processing of BGP records (+JSON/CSV serialization)

Nice-to-have features

- Transparent support for looking glass text formats (show bgp output)
- Rapid prototyping and high-performance modes (namedtuple vs. tuple)
- Built-in statistics and **flexible error handling** (no unexpected aborts)

ftlbgp

- Implemented in Python3 / PyPy3 (fast)
- Zero-Copy operations on all data items (really fast)
- Work in progress: open-source release and paper submission soon

The case for yet another BGP parser (II)

from ftlbgp import BgpParser

with BgpParser(named_records=True, human_readable=True, serialize=False) as parse:

for record in parse("rib.20240101.0000.bz2"): print(record)

BgpRouteRecord(type=, source=, sequence=, timestamp=, peer_protocol=, peer_bgp_id=, peer_as=, peer_ip=, nexthop_protocol=, nexthop_ip=, prefix_protocol=, prefix=, path_id=, aspath=, origin=, communities=, large_communities=, extended_communities=, multi_exit_disc=, atomic_aggregate=, aggregator_protocol=, aggregator_as=, aggregator_ip=, only_to_customer=, originator_id=, cluster_list=, local_pref=, attr_set=, as_pathlimit=, aigp=, attrs_unknown=**)**

BgpPeerTableRecord(...) BgpRouteRefreshRecord(...) BgpStatsRecord(...) BgpStateChangeRecord(...)BgpKeepAliveRecord(...)BgpNotificationRecord(...)BgpOpenRecord(...)BgpErrorRecord(...)BgpDenRecord(...)



Testing the parser GENERAL RESULTS

Testing the parser (I)

MRT statistics

- 283,259 input files for Jan 01, 2024 [Packet Clearing House / RIPE RIS / RouteViews / Leitwert]
- 3,074,186,495 BGP routes extracted from 1,001,812,640 MRT entries

MRT entry	MRT type		MRT entry	MRT type	
BGP4MP	MESSAGE_AS4	610,466,399	TABLE_DUMP_V2	RIB_IPV4_UNICAST	58,273,937
BGP4MP	MESSAGE_AS4_ADD-PATH	9,986,631	TABLE_DUMP_V2	RIB_IPV6_UNICAST	11,361,757
BGP4MP	STATE_CHANGE_AS4	9,814,995	TABLE_DUMP_V2	RIB_IPV4_UNICAST_ADDPATH	167,191
BGP4MP	MESSAGE	3,213,340	TABLE_DUMP_V2	RIB_IPV6_UNICAST_ADDPATH	59,555
BGP4MP	STATE_CHANGE	521,953	TABLE_DUMP_V2	PEER_INDEX_TABLE	76
BGP4MP_ET	MESSAGE_AS4	297,631,200			
BGP4MP_ET	MESSAGE	315,606			

Testing the parser (II)

BGP statistics

• **56,052,068** (5.6%) MRT entries not RFC-compliant

1,490,360,675 1,490,360,675 1,063,804,129 946,850,166 543,506,876 383,193,827 274,134,820 134,804,472 107,298,479 87,433,377 75,182,734

5,629,805

• 16 (!) MRT entries not recoverable

BGP attribute

ORIGIN
AS_PATH
COMMUNITIES
NEXT_HOP
MP_REACH_NLRI
MULTI_EXIT_DISC
LARGE_COMMUNITIES
AGGREGATOR
EXTENDED_COMMUNITIES
ATOMIC_AGGREGATE
MP_UNREACH_NLRI
ONLY_TO_CUSTOMER

BGP attribute

ORIGINATOR_ID	1,
CLUSTER_LIST	1,
AS4_PATH	
RESERVED_FOR_DEV	
LOCAL_PREF	
AS4_AGGREGATOR	
ATTR_SET	
AS_PATHLIMIT	
CONNECTOR	
DOMAIN_PATH	
VENDOR_243	

23,820
23,820
871,562
276,517
89,503
22,190
3,629
2,809
471
357

2

Testing the parser (III)

Collector statistics

- Routes received from 3,835 / 2,755 IPv4/IPv6 peers [1,354 / 940 ASNs]
- Routes containing 16,206 / 3,347 IPv4/IPv6 next-hops [4,184 / 2,324 ASNs]

BGP capabilities		BGP messages		
ROUTE_REFRESH	109,199	UPDATE	880,733,106	
BGP4MP	82,172	KEEPALIVE	39,357,481	
PRESTD_ROUTE_REFRESH	81,555	NOTIFICATION	1,335,554	
AS4	77,937	OPEN	180,046	NOTE: Random selection of peers
ENHANCED_ROUTE_REFRESH	33,074	ROUTE_REFRESH	6,989	
GRACEFUL_RESTART	30,932			
LLGR	16,764			
ADDPATH	11,694			
EXTENDED_NEXT_HOP	8,143			
FQDN	1,472			
BGP4MP_ET	1,469			
BGP_ROLE	435			
PRESTD_MULTISESSION	1			

Internet Intelligence | Routing Assessment | Network Monitoring



ARPA NET, AUGUST 1971

Comparison with other tools **WAS IT WORTH THE EFFORT?**

Comparison with other tools (I)

Our selection of BGP parsers

		<u>Organization</u>	<u>Language</u>	<u>Output</u>
•	bgpdump	RIPE	С	CSV
►	microbgp	RIPE	С	CSV
•	bgpscanner	Isolario	С	CSV
•	bgpreader	CAIDA	С	CSV
►	FGBGP	bgp.tools	Go	native
►	BGPKit	bgpkit.com	Rust	csv/json
•	mrtparse	VMware (?)	Python	native/csv
•	<u>ftlbgp</u>	Leitwert	Python	native/csv/json

Comparison with other tools (II)

BGP information included in the data set

		<u>RIB entries</u>	<u>Announcements</u>	<u>Withdrawls</u>
•	bgpdump	693,043,683 [+1]	2,094,588,421 [+10,637,190]	303,962,238 [+6,770,656]
Þ	microbgp	693,043,750 [+68]	2,084,950,087 [+998,856]	297,545,819 [+354,237]
•	bgpscanner	676,562,154 [-16,481,528]	2,094,605,158 [+10,653,927]	305,515,450 [+8,323,868]
	bgpreader	692,473,966 [-569,716]	2,175,818,683 [+91,867,452]	427,650,781 [+130,459,199
Þ	FGBGP	693,023,522 [-20,160]	1,353,657,721 [-730,293,510]	183,396,323 [-113,795,259]
Þ	BGPKit	693,043,389 [-293]	2,041,801,757 [-42,149,474]	277,792,991 [-19,398,591]
	mrtparse	692,892,052 [-151,630]	1,763,545,229 [-320,406,002]	262,661,675 [-34,529,907]
	<u>ftlbgp</u>	693,043,682	2,083,951,231	297,191,582

Comparison with other tools (III)

Support for ADD-PATH - extended NLRIs with multiple paths [RFC7911]

		<u>Routes</u>	ADD-PATH	<u>Path Identifiers</u>
	bgpdump	3,091,594,342 [+17,407,847]	40,158,861 [-10,677,316]	1,856,496 [-976,935]
►	microbgp	3,075,539,656 [+1,353,161]	37,468,074 [-13,368,103]	1,788,883 [-1,044,548
•	bgpscanner	3,076,682,762 [+2,496,267]	40,158,861 [-10,677,316]	1,856,496 [-976,935]
•	bgpreader	3,295,943,430 [+221,756,935]	0 [-50,836,177]	0 [-2,833,431]
►	FGBGP	2,230,077,566 [-844,108,929]	0 [-50,836,177]	0 [-2,833,431]
•	BGPKit	3,012,638,137 [-61,548,358]	40,702,158 [-10,134,019]	2,703,077 [-130,354]
•	mrtparse	2,719,098,956 [-355,087,539]	46,975,518 [-3,860,659]	2,728,606 [-104,825]
►	<u>ftlbgp</u>	3,074,186,495	50,836,177	2,833,431

ARPANET LOGICAL MAP, MARCH 1977



PREASE NOTE THAT WHILE THIS MAD SHOWS THE HOST POPULATION OF THE NETWORK ACCORDING TO THE BEST INFORMATION OBTAINABLE, NO CLAIM CAN BE MADE FOR ITS ACCURACY) NAME SHOWN ARE MP NAMES, NOT INECESSARILY HOST NAMES

How are ASes interconnected? TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

© 2024 Leitwert GmbH - All rights reserved.

How are ASes interconnected?

Analysis of topological characteristics

		<u>AS numbers</u>	<u>AS links</u>	<u>AS triplets</u>	<u>AS paths</u>
•	bgpdump	83,988 [-2]	674,362 [+2 -241]	10,283,033 [+69]-528]	69,210,069 [+686 -630]
►	microbgp	83,989 [+1 -2]	676,390 [+1,838 -49]	10,288,006 [+5,603 -1,089]	69,191,395 [+30,776 -49,394]
•	bgpscanner	83,934 [-56]	668,074 [+2 -6,529]	10,018,224 [+69 -265,337]	66,743,530 [+687 -2,467,170]
•	bgpreader	83,986 [-4]	674,212 [+2 -391]	10,282,167 [+69 -1,394]	69,200,154 [+686 -10,545]
►	FGBGP	83,880 [-110]	669,907 [-4,694]	10,204,877 [-78,615]	66,103,452 [+1 -3,106,562]
•	BGPKit	83,988 [-2]	674,284 [+2 -319]	10,282,397 [+69 -1,164]	69,199,739 [+687 -10,961]
•	mrtparse	83,987 [-3]	673,113 [+2 -1,490]	10,250,101 [+53 -33,444]	67,616,507 [+445 -1,593,951]
►	<u>ftlbgp</u>	83,990	674,601	10,283,492	69,210,013





How big is the Internet? ADDRESS SPACE CHARACTERISTICS

How big is the Internet? (I)

Analysis of address space characteristics

		IPv4 prefixes	IPv6 prefixes	<u>Multi-CC</u> ¹	Large ²	<u>Invalid</u> ³
	bgpdump	1,201,133 [+15,381]	311,101 [+71,752]	1,357 [+1,270]	8,092 [+8,085]	90,063
►	microbgp	1,186,961 [+1,210 -1]	251,095 [+11,795 -49]	365 [+278]	1,376 [+1,369]	0
•	bgpscanner	1,187,210 [+5,597 -4,139]	301,199 [+62,924 -1,074]	1,218 [+1,131]	3,605 [+3,598]	148,116
	bgpreader	1,188,585 [+2,906 -73]	283,460 [+44,117 -6]	593 [+506]	1,076 [+1,069]	0
۲	FGBGP	1,172,953 [+28 -12,827]	245,723 [+6,459 -85]	105 [+20 -2]	689 [+682]	0
	BGPKit	1,185,695 [-57]	239,346 [-3]	87	7	0
•	mrtparse	1,185,452 [-300]	239,320 [-29]	87	7	0
•	<u>ftlbgp</u>	1,185,752	239,349	87	7	0

¹Aggregated prefixes containing RIR netblocks of multiple countries

² Large prefixes with net mask <8 (IPv4) and <16 (IPv6)
 ³ Invalid prefixes with net mask >32 (IPv4) and >128 (IPv6)

© 2024 Leitwert GmbH - All rights reserved.

INFORMATIONAL – Personal use granted. | 16

How big is the Internet? (II)

Analysis of address space characteristics

		<u>IPv4 equivalent (/32)</u>	<u>IPv6 equivalent (/64)</u>
•	bgpdump	3,747,340,158 [+684,686,403]	96,487,259,934,925,906 [+95,537,952,283,138,727]
•	microbgp	3,423,032,903 [+360,379,148]	2,568,829,368,500,655 [+1,619,521,716,713,476]
•	bgpscanner	3,661,328,689 [+598,674,934]	88,573,694,404,733,341 [+87,624,386,752,946,162]
•	bgpreader	3,581,595,648 [+518,941,893]	75,739,805,433,388,915 [+74,790,497,781,601,736]
►	FGBGP	3,175,978,297 [+113,324,542]	22,202,803,563,846,678 [+21,253,495,912,059,499]
•	BGPKit	3,062,653,742 [-13]	949,307,651,787,179
•	mrtparse	3,062,653,499 [-256]	949,303,356,754,341 [-4,295,032,838]
•	<u>ftlbgp</u>	3,062,653,755	949,307,651,787,179



Lessons learned WORK IN PROGRESS

Summary

Lessons learned

- Raw BGP data requires interpretation and interpolation we have **dialects** and **artifacts**
- Knowledge of **peer capabilities** can be helpful but there is no way for direct access
- Adding new features to the BGP/MRT standard can lead to data loss (c.f. ADD-PATH)
- Crafting BGP messages with certain attributes may conceal routes or even crash parsers

Work in progress

- Global peer database with explicitly observed and implicitly derived capabilities
- Support RPKI origin/path validation results in MRT format in the future?
- Historical data analysis over large timeframe (currently running)
- Open-source release and paper submission soon



	Dr. Johann SCHLAMP
EMAIL	schlamp@leitwert.net
PGP	F958 5A39 FCDC 383E E007 A911 E6CC 7F59 8B24 15A9
PHONE MOBIL	+49 841 93768493 +49 174 4944947
ADDRE	Leitwert GmbH Donaustrasse 17 85049 Ingolstadt
	GERMANY

Thank you QUESTIONS

SS